

GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA
ABSTRACT

Public Servants – PR&RD Dept. – Allegations of irregularities in tender notification & Execution of works taken up under R&R Grant, Jinnaram (M), PRI SD Patancheru, PRI Division, Sangareddy, Medak District – Sri Mumtaz Ali Khan, the then Dy.EE, PRI Sub Division, Patancheru, Medak District - Disciplinary proceedings under Rule 20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 (Telangana Adaptation) Order, 2016– Article of charges – Issued.

PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG.I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 687

Dated: 07-10-2016

ORDER:

It is proposed to hold an enquiry against Sri Mumtaz Ali Khan, the then Dy.EE, PRI Sub Division, Patancheru, Medak District in accordance with the procedure laid down in Rule 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 (Telangana Adaptation) Order, 2016.

2. The substance of the imputations of misconduct or misbehavior in respect of which the enquiry is proposed to be held is set out in the enclosed statement of article of charges (Annexure-I). A list of documents by which and a list of witnesses by whom, the article of charges are proposed to be sustained are also enclosed (Annexure-II&III).

3. Sri Mumtaz Ali Khan, the then Dy.EE, PRI Sub Division, Patancheru, Medak District is directed to submit within ten (10) days of the receipt of this order, a written statement of his defence.

4. Sri Mumtaz Ali Khan, the then Dy.EE, PRI Sub Division, Patancheru, Medak District is informed that an enquiry will be held only in respect of article of those charges as are not admitted. He should, therefore, specifically admit or deny each article of charge.

5. Sri Mumtaz Ali Khan, the then Dy.EE, PRI Sub Division, Patancheru, Medak District is further informed that if he does not submit his written statement of defence on or before the Period specified in Para 3 above or otherwise fails or refuses to comply with the provisions of Rule 20 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 (Telangana Adaptation) Order, 2016 or the orders / directions issued in pursuance of the said rules, the Inquiring Authority may hold the inquiry against him ex-parte.

6. Sri Mumtaz Ali Khan, the then Dy.EE, PRI Sub Division, Patancheru, Medak District is invited to Rule 24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964 (Telangana Adaptation) Order, 2016, under which no Government Servant shall bring or attempt to bring any political or outside influence to bear upon any superior authority to further his interest in respect of matters pertaining to his service under the Government. If any representation is received on his behalf from another person in respect of any matter dealt within these proceedings, it will be presumed that Sri Mumtaz Ali Khan, the then Dy.EE, PRI Sub Division, Patancheru, is aware of such a representation and that it has been made at his instance and action will be taken against him for violation of Rule-24 of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964 (Telangana Adaptation) Order, 2016.

7. The receipt of this G.O. shall be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF TELANGANA)

S.P.SINGH
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Sri Mumtaz Ali Khan, the then Dy.EE, PRI Sub Division, Patancheru, Medak District

Through the Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad.

The Engineer-in-Chief, PR, Hyderabad.

(in duplicate with a request to serve and return the dated acknowledgement copy)

SF/SC

ANNEUXRE –I

(Annexure to G.O.Rt.No. , PR&RD (Vig.I) Deptt., dt.07.10.2016)

STATEMENT OF ARTICLES OF CHARGES FRAMED AGAINST SRI MUMTAZ ALI KHAN, THE THEN DEE, PRI JINNARAM (M) PATANCHERU SUB-DIVISION, MEDAK DISTRICT, NOW WORKING ON DEPUTATION IN HYDERABAD METRO RAIL LTD, HYDERABAD.

CHARGES:

That the said Sri Mumtaz Ali Khan, the then DEE, PRI Jinnaram (M) Patancheru Sub-Division, Medak District has allowed substandard execution of works taken up under R&R grant – Jinnaram (M) PRI Sub-division Patancheru, PRI division Sangareddy. He has allowed less quality and less quantity of various item of works in execution of works sanctioned under R&R grant in Jinnaram Mandal. He has failed to discharge his legitimate duties and shown negligence in performing of works right from the preparation of estimates, maintaining of records, quality maintained in execution of works and non production of records to the enquiry officer which resulting financial loss to the Government exchequer.

Basis:

The Executive Engineer, PR, Vigilance and Quality Control, Hyderabad has conducted an enquiry on substandard execution of works taken up under R&R grant – Jinnaram (M) PRI Sub-division Patancheru, PR Division Sangareddy and reported that the Officer has committed irregularities in execution of works and the details of various deficiencies are as follows. The Executive Engineer, PR, Vigilance and Quality Control, Hyderabad has proposed total recovery of all the works mentioned below of Rs.80,61,375/- through his reports dt:30-05-2015, 20-03-2016.

Work Sl.No.1,2:-

- As per the available records Rs.1.00 Lakhs advance is given to the agency vide DEE, Lr.No.DEE/PTC/PR-Kistaipally R&R/1/Dt:20.02.2014, But the same advance is not deducted by the AEE while making payment.
- Income Tax is not deducted from the work bills.

Work Sl.No.3,4,5,6&7 :-

- For the above leveling of ground works the AEE failed to arrive at the correct quantities on the basis of contour survey. The field staff has not furnished the relevant records for the above works regarding leveling of the ground cutting and filling for verification. For which the payment was made of Rs.9.28 Lakhs each work. The total expenditure is Rs.46.40 lakhs.
- The AEE has failed to establish TBMs, Taking levels at various grid points, and assessing the quantities required for cutting and filling.
- Areas of earth work in cutting and areas of earth work in filling are not clearly demarcated in layout plan.
- The rate given for earth work in cutting using machinery and transportation up to 1 km distance and dumping is Rs.74.92/Cum(as per approved data). The quantity that is available from earth work in cutting ie., 39593.00 cum be utilized for filling the required low lying area.

No. of manholes is observed (36 nos) Recovery is proposed for the same.

Work Sl.No. 34 to 42:- The estimates pertaining to electricity lines, Transformers and meters are not sanctioned by the competent authority. The works are not entrusted to any agency, But works are grounded and are at different stages.

P.T.O.

::2::

Work Sl.No. 50 to 51:- The estimates for the works are not technically sanctioned, agreements are not concluded. But Work was allowed at the site, there is already a Sump constructed at the site and is completed.

WORK WISE ABSTRACT OF RECOVERY CALCULATIONS

R&R Programme Kistaipally in Jinnaram (M), PRI Sub-Division, Patancheru, Medak District.

Sl. No	Description of work	Nature of work	Deficiency noticed	Amount of recovery(in Rs.)
1	2	3	4	5
1	Work Nos in the list communicated by the EE (PR) Sangareddy:3,4,5,6,7	Levelling of the ground cutting filling	Non production of the records	46,40,000
2	Works Nos:8,9,10,11,12,13, 14	Formation of internal roads	Towards difference in cost of GSB Material and side earth.	8,96,698
3	Work No:14	Formation of internal roads	Excess recording of GSB	1,49,596
4	Work No:12	Formation of internal roads	Shortfall in length of GSB	5822
5	Work No:12&13	Formation of internal roads	Excess recording of GSB	1,38,534
6	Work No:15	Metalling of internal roads	GR II metal O/size and less quantity	1,52,257
			O/Size in road no:IV Part and V road	5080
7	Work No:16	Metalling of internal roads	O/size in GR II metal	7600
8	Work No:17	Metalling of internal roads	O/size in GR II metal	596
9	Work No:18	Metalling of internal roads	O/size in GR II metal	712
10	Work No:21	Metalling of internal roads	Non furnishing of the records	8,87,000
11	Work No:23	Underground drainage with SWG pipes	Shortfall in no. of manholes.	7191
12	Work No:25	Underground drainage with SWG pipes	Shortfall in no. of manholes.	35,955
13	Work No:29	Underground drainage with SWG pipes	Shortfall in length of SWG pipelines	2,00,596
14	Work No:30	Underground drainage with SWG pipes	Shortfall in length of SWG pipelines	3,42,280
15	Work No:33	Underground drainage with SWG pipes	Excess recording of SWG pipe line & Manholes.	5,91,458
Total Amount of Recovery in Rs.				80,61,375/-

The irregularities identified in tender process, execution of all works sanctioned under R&R works in Jinnaram (M), Patancheru SD of Medak district and also non production of records for the leveling works, which resulting the financial loss to the Government exchequer of Rs.80,61,375/-

The inaction of the Delinquent officer amounts to violation of Rule (3) of AP Civil Services (Conduct) Rules 1964(Telangana Adaptation) Order, 2016 thereby he exhibited lack of integrity and devolution to duty unbecoming of Government servant.

Hence the Charge.

S.P.SINGH
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE II

(Annexure to G.O.Rt.No. , PR&RD (Vig.I) Deptt., dt.07.10.2016)

LIST OF DOCUMENTS BY WHICH THE ARTICLES OF CHARGES ARE PROPOSED AGAINST SRI MUMTAZ ALI KHAN, THE THEN DEE, PRI JINNARAM (M) PATANCHERU SUB-DIVISION, MEDAK DISTRICT, TO BE SUSTAINED.

1) Lr.No.AEE2/R&R Inp/2015, dt:30.05.2015 of EE (PR) V&QC division, Hyderabad.

2)Lr.No.QC2/AEE/V&QC Division, Hyderabad/15-16, dt:20.03.2016 & 11.5.2016 of Executive Engineer V&QC Hyderabad.

S.P.SINGH
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

SECTION OFFICER

ANNEXURE III

(Annexure to G.O.Rt.No. , PR&RD (Vig.I) Deptt., dt.07.10.2016)

LIST OF WITNESSES BY WHOM THE ARTICLES OF CHARGES ARE PROPOSED AGAINST SRI MUMTAZ ALI KHAN, THE THEN DEE, PRI JINNARAM (M) PATANCHERU SUB-DIVISION, MEDAK DISTRICT TO BE SUSTAINED.

-NIL-

S.P.SINGH
SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

SECTION OFFICER